

Message

From: Kenneke, John [Kenneke.John@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/7/2022 8:45:47 PM
To: Thomas, Russell [Thomas.Russell@epa.gov]
CC: Linnenbrink, Monica [Linnenbrink.Monica@epa.gov]; Franzosa, Jill [Franzosa.Jill@epa.gov]; Young, Douglas [Young.Douglas@epa.gov]; Russell, Marc [Russell.Marc@epa.gov]
Subject: Draft spreadsheet tool for analyzing CCTE Strap 4 Product Reviews
Attachments: CCTE Management Product Proposal Review JFK SUMMARY SHEET.xlsx

Hi Rusty,

Please find attached the spreadsheet Monica discussed with you. The sheet is built off the spreadsheet Doug developed to collect the CCTE review information. A portion of that sheet (hyperlinks, descriptions, etc.) is now in hidden columns but is easily accessible. Here is a quick overview of the spreadsheet:

Overview Tab

- Provides a summary of CCTE review Bin distributions and ORD budget comparison to FY23 target and CCTE request
- Provides overview of goals, approach and workflow for identifying products requiring further discussion within CCTE before uploading to ORD sheet

Product Review Tab

- Bin Rating and Statistics (**Column AI—AQ**)
 - Compiles individual review bin ratings, distribution and statistical analysis (avg, stdev, %RSD)
 - **Column AJ:** estimate of the FY23 \$ cost as a % of the total CCTE budget for that Research Area
 - **Column AR:** whole number bin rating based on rounding of bin value average rating. Intended to be a place to start discussions—not final decision. **Column AS** is where we will put final CCTE decision.
- Criteria with Adjustable Cutoffs to identify Products for Discussion (**Columns AU-BC**)
 - *NOTE: Columns that have counters that will adjust when the column is filtered to display counts only of what is seen—not the entire data set for that column.*
 - Criteria include: CCTE product Yes/no), # of reviews, # of bin 3 reviews, % above budget, average, etc. as ways to identify products that do not meet one or more criteria. Criteria Level is set in Row 3 (yellow shaded box).
 - Using filters in conjunction with the criteria we should be able to quickly identify products, research areas, etc. requiring more in depth discussion. For example, RPIS is thinking we would start with all products receiving at least one bin 3 as a starting point for identifying products for discussion.
 - **Column BD:** Compiled text comments

Please let me know if you have any questions.

John

John F. Kenneke, Ph.D.
Assistant Center Director for Chemical Safety for Sustainability
Center for Computational Toxicology and Exposure
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office: (706) 355-8247 | Cell: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)